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Abstract

An optical fiber chemical sensor for the determination of free glutamate in food samples was fabricated based on the immobilization of 0.1 M copper(II) nitrate trihydrate onto sol-gel glass powder which was then mixed with methyl cellulose to form a pellet. A distinctive colour change from light blue to dark blue was observed in the presence of glutamate in less than 1 min. The colour change was measured by reflectance spectrophotometer at 691 nm. A linear relationship between the reflectance intensity and glutamate concentration was observed in the range of 12.5 to 500 mM with a limit of detection of 10.6 mM. This method is also reproducible with a relative standard deviation of less than 5%, no effect on pH of the glutamate solution and a good recovery of above 80%. The sensor was used for the determination of glutamate in common food items such as soups and flavor enhancers. The results obtained from the fabricated sensor were found to be comparable with HPLC method.
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Introduction

Glutamate is one of the most abundant excitatory neurotransmitters in the central nervous system (Liu et al. 1997). It is implicated in a variety of human disorders, particularly Alzheimer’s, Huntington’s chorea and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Raiten et al. 1995). Monosodium glutamate (MSG) is used as a flavor enhancer in a variety of foods prepared at home, in restaurants and by food processors. Simultaneous symptoms of MSG sensitivity, also known as Chinese Restaurant Syndrome, are burning, tightness and numbness, commencing between 10 min and 2 h after the start of a meal and lasting for 4 h or less (Kerr et al. 1979). Therefore, it is very important to develop a simple, rapid and reliable analytical method for measuring glutamate in foods.

Several methods for the determination of L-glutamate have been described including HPLC (Populin et al. 2007), amperometric biosensor (Batra et al. 2014; Hughes et al. 2015; Jamal et al. 2013; Upadhyay et al. 2006; Yu et al. 2015), spectrophotometric biosensor with flow injection analysis (Khampha et al. 2004), fluorometric biosensor (Chapman & Zhou 1999) and potentiometric biosensor (Batra et al. 2016; Oliveira et al. 2001). Before analysis can be carried out using HPLC with fluorescence detector (Populin et al. 2007), several steps are required, such as sample derivatization, sample dilution, complicated and time-consuming sample preparation procedures which are unsatisfactory for rapid analysis of a large number of samples. On the other hand, enzyme-based biosensors are selective and able to achieve low limits of detection. However, enzymes are often sensitive to pH, temperature (must be stored at 4°C if not in use) and have limited shelf-life.

Another approach for the determination of glutamate is by using optical chemical sensor. These sensors are attractive because of their simple handling and low-cost.
In this report, the development of an optical chemical sensor for detecting free glutamate in food is presented. The principle of the proposed sensor is based on the formation of complex between copper(II) and glutamate. The distinctive, readily observable colour change from light blue to dark blue from different glutamate concentrations can be observed visually or monitored spectrophotometrically. Copper(II) salts are immobilized into sol-gel powder to form a pellet.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

REAGENTS

Copper(II) nitrate trihydrate was purchased from R&M Chemicals (Essex, UK). Tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) and methyl cellulose were obtained from Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Hydrochloric acid (37%) and 99.5% ethanol were obtained from System (Russellville, USA) and monosodium glutamate from Across Organic (New Jersey, USA). All chemicals used were of analytical grade and deionized water was used for solution preparation.

A stock Cu(II) solution (4 M) was prepared by dissolving copper(II) nitrate trihydrate (241.60 g) in deionized water (250 mL). A sol-gel solution was prepared by mixing TEOS (30 mL), 0.5 mL HCl (0.1 M), ethanol (31 mL, 99.5%) and deionized water (30 mL). This solution was then stirred for 2 h and left for one day in a closed container before use. A stock solution of glutamate (2 M) was prepared by dissolving monosodium glutamate monohydrate (93.57 g) in deionized water (250 mL). Working standard solutions of glutamate were prepared by appropriate dilution of the stock solution before use.

SAMPLE PREPARATION

Three types of food were used as test samples: Liquid, solid and semi-solid. Liquid samples were used directly without dilution. Solid and semi-solid were dissolved in boiling water according to manufacturer’s instructions. These samples were then treated as liquid samples.

CONSTRUCTION OF CHEMICAL SENSOR

A 0.1 M Cu(II) solution was mixed with sol-gel solution (15 mL). The mixture was stirred thoroughly and poured into a petri dish (diameter, 10 cm). Then, the mixture was left to dry in an oven for 5 h at 50°C. The resultant blue transparent glass was ground to small particles whose size was determined by molecular sieves. The optimum particle size (< 90 µm) was mixed with methyl cellulose in a ratio of 1:1 (w/w). Methyl cellulose was added to ensure that the particles adhered to each other. A small amount of this mixture was pressed in a pellet press (Parr Instrument Company, USA) to form a pellet (diameter, 1.3 cm).

INSTRUMENTATION AND MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

All reflectance measurements were carried out using a fiber-optic spectrophotometer (Ocean Optic SD 2000). Glutamate solution was dropped onto the pellet of immobilized reagent. Reflectance measurements were carried out by placing the pellet at the tip of the optical fiber. The change in reflectance signal was recorded. At least three readings were made for each concentration of substrate solution. The measurements were expressed as the relative reflectance, defined as the reflectance of the Cu(II)-glutamate complex after reaction with substrate minus the reflectance of the immobilized Cu(II) alone. Both were recorded at the same measurement wavelength (691 nm).

The results from the glutamate chemical sensor were compared with HPLC method. For HPLC method, the sample was appropriately diluted before the measurement was carried out. The HPLC was operated in the isocratic mode. Glutamate was pre-column derivatized with o-phthaldialdehyde (OPA) (Ryth-Rinder et al. 2001). OPA (108 mg) was dissolved in 1 mL of 99.5% ethanol and boric acid buffer (0.4 M, pH 10.4) was added to a volume of 10 mL. The OPA reagent was activated by adding 20 µL of mercaptoethanol followed by 20 µL glutamate for 1 min. The HPLC measurement was carried out using a Jasco PV-1580 HPLC unit fitted with a ThermoHypersil-Keystone Hypersil ODS C18 column (250 × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) at room temperature. The detector used was a Jasco FD-1520-Intelligent Fluorescence detector set at 330 nm for excitation and 455 nm for emission. 20 µL of sample was injected. The mobile phase used was methanol-phosphate buffer (35:65 v/v, pH 5.8).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The sensing principle of the sensor is based on the formation of Cu(II)-glutamate complex when the immobilized copper reacts with glutamate. The formation of the Cu(II)-glutamate complex as reported earlier is due to a strong chelate binding effect involving both the carboxylate and amine groups to copper ion (Stone et al. 2004). The blue colour formed is directly proportional to the concentration of glutamate. The structure of the proposed Cu(II)-glutamate complex is shown in Figure 1. A series of experiments were performed in order to characterize and optimize the sensor response (e.g. the

FIGURE 1. Glutamate bond to copper(II) in a chelate-binding mode
effects of reagent concentration, particle size and pH of the glutamate solution), limit of detection, linear range and reproducibility.

Figure 2 shows the reflectance spectrum of the immobilized copper before and after the reaction with glutamate. The formation of the glutamate complex causes a decrease in the reflectance intensity due to the colour change of the reagent phase from light blue to dark blue. The maximum difference of the two reflectance spectra was observed at 691 nm, so this wavelength was used throughout the experiments.

The effect of Cu(II) concentrations (0.05-0.20 M) on the sensor response was studied. The highest intensity difference was observed at 0.10 M Cu(II) (Figure 3). The light blue colour of Cu(II) became darker as the concentration of Cu(II) is increased. Above 0.1 M Cu(II), the formation of Cu(II) glutamate complex also produces a dark coloured complex and as a result, reduces the difference in reflectance signal. Therefore 0.10 M Cu(II) was chosen as the optimum concentration to be used for subsequent studies.

The effect of particle size (90-1000 µm) was also studied. The effects of the sol-gel particle size on the sensor performance are shown in Figure 4. Smaller sol-gel particles produced bigger differences in reflectance intensity due to the increase in surface area (Jouhannaud et al. 2008). The same concentration of reagent immobilized in sol-gel glass after grinding to a smaller particle size showed a light blue colour compared to the dark blue colour exhibited by reagent samples of bigger particle size. Particle size was also observed to influence the time needed for the formation of the Cu(II)-glutamate complex. Reagent with particle size <90 µm gave the fastest response time (less than 1 min.), whereas reagent of larger particle size needed more time for the substrate solution to absorb into the immobilized reagent (Figure 5). Therefore, particles less than 90 µm was chosen for subsequent studies.

The response of the sensor was further optimized by evaluating the effects of the pH of the glutamate solution. Thus, glutamate solutions (0.1 M) were prepared in appropriate buffer solutions with different pH values ranging from 2 to 12. The result shows no significant

![FIGURE 2. Reflectance spectra for immobilized copper (II) ions: (a) before and (b) after reaction with 2 M glutamate](image)

![FIGURE 3. Effects of immobilized Cu(II) concentration on glutamate response at 691 nm ([Glu] = 2 M)](image)
variation of the intensity of the complex as shown by an ANOVA test.

The linear dynamic range was determined by introducing different concentrations of glutamate under optimized conditions. The plot of glutamate concentration against reflectance intensity is linear for glutamate concentrations in the range of 12.5-500 mM (Figure 6). The limit of detection (LOD) of glutamate, defined here as the concentration equivalent to a signal of blank plus three times the standard deviation of the blank (Miller & Miller 2000), was calculated to be 10.6 mM. This LOD is higher than that of the earlier reported glutamate biosensors, for example, 68 µM for the amperometric glutamate biosensor (Jamal et al. 2013); 0.4 µM for the flow injection analysis based biosensor (Khampha et al. 2004). Although the sensitivity of the present sensor is inferior to the reported biosensors, it can nevertheless be applied for certain food samples that contain a relatively large amount of glutamate. Glutamate levels range between 6.7 and 658 mg/100 g in fresh food to 0.05 and 6830 mg/100 g in processed food (Janarthanan & Mottola 1998) have been reported.

Reproducibility study was carried out using a new batch of immobilized reagent after each measurement of the same glutamate concentration. The precision of the sensor performance as reflected in the relative standard deviation when determined from replicate analyses of three different concentrations, namely, 0.025, 0.125 and 0.50 M glutamate, was in the range of 4.2-4.8%.

The interference of other amino acids in the determination of glutamate using the sensor is expected to be insignificant as Cu(II) will only react with free amino acids. In many processed foods, amino acids are

![FIGURE 4. Effects of sol-gel particle size on glutamate sensor response at 691 nm](image1)

![FIGURE 5. Glutamate sensor response at 691 nm at different size of sol-gel/Cu(II)](image2)
not present in the free form but rather as building blocks in proteins or peptides. Thus naturally occurring amino acids are rarely found in the free form. The effects of other organic acids such as aspartic acid and sorbic acid on the sensor response were studied. Aspartic acid was chosen for the interference study because of the similarity of its structure to that glutamic acid. Both compounds consist of one amino group and two carboxyl groups in their side chain when ionized, are negatively charged and are very polar under physiological conditions (Cozzone 2002). Sorbic acid, on the other hand, was chosen because it is commonly used as a food preservative, especially in fruit jams (Saad et al. 2005) and it contains one carboxyl group, which might have an effect on formation of the complex between the Cu(II) ion and glutamic acid. In general, it was found that the interference of these acids at low levels is low. However, when present at high concentrations, the interference is quite high (Table 1). The sensor response was found to be unaffected by the presence of common metal ions such as K, Na, Ca, Mg and Fe.

The effect of the sample matrix was studied by spiking a known quantity of glutamate into three different samples of food, i.e. fried rice seasoning, sour curry paste and concentrated chicken stock. The content of glutamate in the spiked food samples was determined using the glutamate sensor based on measurements of the reflectance intensity. Acceptable results with recovery percentages of 106%, 84% and 99% were obtained for fried rice seasoning, sour curry paste and concentrated chicken stock, respectively (Table 2). The result showed that the matrix in the sample does not interfere with the performance of the glutamate sensor.

Finally, the use of the developed glutamate sensor for real sample analysis was applied to samples of vegetable soup, anchovy stock cube and cream of mushroom soup. The results obtained from the glutamate sensor were compared to the HPLC method (Table 3). A statistical analysis (t-test) (a = 95%) carried out by comparing the means of measurements obtained from the two methods showed no significant difference.

**TABLE 1. The degree of interference at molar ratio of glutamate: Interference compound***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interference compound</th>
<th>Glutamate: Interference compound</th>
<th>Interference (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspartic acid</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbic acid</td>
<td>1:0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interference (%) = ((x-y)/y) × 100, where x is the average reflectance value for mixed solution of glutamate and foreign ions, y is the average reflectance value for glutamate solution only

**TABLE 2. The results for recoveries of spiked standards to three types of food samples***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Amount spiked (M)</th>
<th>Amount before spiking (M)</th>
<th>Amount after spiking (M)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fried rice seasoning</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sour curry paste</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrated chicken stock</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recovery (%) = ((x-y)/z) × 100, where x is the average amount of glutamate after spiking, y is the average amount of glutamate before spiking, and z is the amount of spiked glutamate
An optical glutamate sensor using Cu(II) immobilized onto sol-gel powder was successfully prepared. The sensor shows good reproducibility (RSD < 5%), fast response time (less than 1 min) and relatively high limit of detection of 10.6 mM. The developed sensor was successfully applied for glutamate determination in real samples and the results obtained were comparable to those obtained with the HPLC method. Compared to the earlier glutamate biosensors that contained delicate enzyme systems, the proposed method is much cheaper, simpler and more robust as it does not depend on the temperature and pH of the sample. The fabricated sensor is portable (in pellet form) and it requires just a few drops of the samples to get the results instantly.

CONCLUSION

An optical glutamate sensor using Cu(II) immobilized onto sol-gel powder was successfully prepared. The sensor shows good reproducibility (RSD < 5%), fast response time (less than 1 min) and relatively high limit of detection of 10.6 mM. The developed sensor was successfully applied for glutamate determination in real samples and the results obtained were comparable to those obtained with the HPLC method. Compared to the earlier glutamate biosensors that contained delicate enzyme systems, the proposed method is much cheaper, simpler and more robust as it does not depend on the temperature and pH of the sample. The fabricated sensor is portable (in pellet form) and it requires just a few drops of the samples to get the results instantly.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of food</th>
<th>Glutamate sensor (mM)</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
<th>HPLC (mM)</th>
<th>RSD(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable soup</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>14.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchovy stock cube</td>
<td>18.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>18.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cream of mushroom soup</td>
<td>42.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>40.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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