Optimization of Cinnamaldehyde Extraction and Antioxidant Activity of Ceylon Cinnamon Extract

(Pengoptimuman Pengesktrakan Sinamaldehid dan Aktiviti Antioksidan Ekstrak Kulit Kayu Manis Ceylon)

Zetty Shafiqa Othman, Mohamad Yusof Maskat & Nur Hasyareeda Hassan*

ABSTRACT

Cinnamon is a spice obtained from the inner bark of cinnamomum tree. Cinnamaldehyde, the major constituent of the cinnamon essential oil is responsible for the flavor and aroma of whole cinnamon. Cinnamaldehyde have various medicinal application including neuroprotection. Thus, this study intends to identify the optimal condition for cinnamaldehyde extraction with high antioxidant activity of cinnamon extract. Responses (cinnamaldehyde yield, TPC, and TEAC) were optimized using response surface methodology (RSM) by employing two factors (temperature and extraction time) based on a three level face centered central composite design (CCD). Level of extraction temperature used were 30 °C, 55 °C and 80 °C, while extraction time were 4, 7, and 10 h. The CCD consisted of 8 experimental point and 5 replicates of central points. The optimal conditions to obtain maximum cinnamaldehyde yield, TPC and TEAC were extraction temperature of 37 °C at 5 h extraction time with predicted cinnamaldehyde yield of 3.05 mg/g, TPC of 682.17 mg GAE/ g and TEAC of 821.57 μ mol TE/g respectively. The experimental values obtained for the cinnamaldehyde yield, TPC, and TEAC under the optimal condition were 3.11 \pm 0.71 mg/g, 682.66 \pm 54.85 mg GAE/g, and 817.89 \pm 9.03 μ mol TE/g. The proximity between experimental and prediction values verify the fitness of RSM models applied for determination of optimal condition for cinnamaldehyde extraction.

Keywords: Antioxidant; cinnamon; cinnamaldehyde; optimization; RSM

ABSTRAK

Kayu manis adalah rempah yang diperoleh daripada kulit dalaman pokok daripada tumbuhan Cinnamomum. Sinamaldehid, adalah sebatian utama minyak pati kayu manis yang bertanggungjawab untuk rasa dan aroma keseluruhan kayu manis. Sinamaldehid mempunyai pelbagai aplikasi dalam perubatan termasuklah perlindungan dihedlamanis nakartskegnep igab mumitpo naadaek itsap lanegnem kutnu naujutreb ini naijak ,uti helO .oruendengan aktiviti antioksidan ekstrak kayu manis yang tinggi. Respons (hasil sinamaldehid, TPC dan TEAC) telah dioptimumkan menggunakan kaedah tindak balas permukaan (RSM) dengan mengaplikasikan dua faktor (suhu dan masa pengekstrakan) berdasarkan tiga tahap reka bentuk komposit berpusat (CCD) berpusat muka. Aras suhu pengekstrakan yang digunakan adalah 30 °C, 55 °C dan 80 °C, manakala masa pengekstrakan adalah 4, 7 dan 10 jam. CCD adalah terdiri daripada 8 titik uji kaji dan 5 ulangan titik pusat. Keadaan optimum untuk memperoleh hasil sinamaldehid diramalkan 3.05 mg/g, 682.17 mg GAE/g TPC dan 821.57 µmol TE/g TEAC. Nilai uji kaji yang diperoleh bagi hasil sinamaldehid, TPC dan TEAC pada keadaan optimum adalah 3.11 ± 0.71 mg/mL, 682.66 ± 54.85 mg GAE/g dan 817.89 ± 9.03 µmol TE/g. Kehampiran antara nilai uji kaji dan ramalan mengesahkan kesesuaian model RSM yang digunakan bagi penentuan keadaan optimum pengekstrakan sinamaldehid.

Kata kunci: Antioksidan; kayu manis; pengoptimuman; RSM; sinamaldehid

INTRODUCTION

Cinnamon has been used for centuries as spice with several pharmacological benefits due to the presence of polyphenolic constituent including phenolic acids, coumarin proanthocyanidin besides volatile essential oils (Momtaz et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2013). Cinnamon therapeutic application also corresponds to its antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-fungal, anti-mutagenic and anticarcinogenic properties (Jayaprakasha et al. 2007; Mathew et al. 2006). Cinnamaldehyde is the main compound of the volatile oils which contribute to the aromatic smell of cinnamon. Besides its antimicrobial, antioxidant, antitumor bioactivity, cinnamaldehyde was reported to aid as neuroprotection due to its potential in inhibiting tau protein aggregation, the hallmark of Alzheimer's disease (AD) (Momtaz et al. 2018; Peterson et al. 2009; Singletary 2008). Thus, optimization of cinnamaldehyde extraction would greatly influence its usage in pharmaceutical application towards AD treatments. Response surface methodology (RSM) can be used as an optimization method which optimize a process when several factors affect a targeted response (Zhen et al. 2008). RSM comprises mathematical and statistical techniques based on a polynomial equation that best fits experimental data in order to describe the behavior of the data set with the objective of projecting statistical predictions (Rafi et al. 2015). Several studies have been reported on the optimization of cinnamon and cinnamaldehyde extraction (Dvorackova et al. 2015; Lee et al. 2018; Nandam et al.2012; Wardatun et al. 2017) and studies by Suryanti et al. (2018) reported moderate antioxidant activity of cinnamaldehyde with IC₅₀ of 95.38. However, correlation between the yield of cinnamaldehyde with antioxidant activity of cinnamon extract has yet to be reported. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the optimal condition for cinnamaldehyde extraction and antioxidant activity of cinnamon extract.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

CINNAMON EXTRACTION

Ceylon cinnamon purchased from Sri Lanka was ground to a particle size of 0.5 mm. The maceration extraction of cinnamon was carried out using 95%(v/v) ethanol (Wardatun et al. 2017) at a solvent to solid mass of 10 mL/g at 80 °C for exhaustive extraction time determination (Dvorackova et al. 2015). For optimizing, various temperature and extraction time according to design of experiment were applied for cinnamon extraction (Table 1).

QUANTIFICATION OF CINNAMALDEHYDE YIELD USING RP-HPLC

Reversed phase- high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) was used to quantify the yield of cinnamaldehyde extracted through external standard calibration. The conditional parameters involved in the RP-HPLC were as follows: 0.8 mL/min flow rate; injection volume of 20 μ L; mobile phase of acetonitrile and deionized water with a ratio of 60:40; temperature of 40 °C and (5) photodiode array detector (PDA) wavelength at 280 nm (Jiao et al. 2013).

OPTIMIZATION USING RESPONSE SURFACE METHODOLOGY (RSM)

Response surface methodology was used to predict the optimize conditions for cinnamaldehyde extraction based on cinnamaldehyde yield, TPC and TEAC. Thirteen experimental trial were randomly run per Central Composite Design (CCD) with independent variables consisting of temperature (X_1 : 30 °C, 55 °C, 80 °C) and extraction time (X_2 : 4 h, 7 h, 10 h) employed at three equidistant levels (-1, 0, +1).

TOTAL PHENOLIC CONTENT (TPC) AND TROLOX EQUIVALENT ANTIOXIDANT CAPACITY (TEAC)

The total phenolic content of extracts was determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu Calorimetric method according

to Sembiring et al. (2018) and Yang et al. (2012) with modification. 20 µL diluted samples (1 mg/mL) were placed in microplate wells. Subsequently 100 µL of Folin Ciocalteu (10%,v/v) was added. Mixture was left incubated in dark at ambient temperature for 10 min. Then, 80 µL Na₂CO₃ (7.5%) been added. The mixtures were shake and left in dark for 2 h and the absorbance was measured at 765 nm. Total phenolic content was assessed by plotting the gallic acid calibration curve (Y=0.0032X + 0.9436) and expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per grams of dried extract. While DPPH scavenging ability assay was used to evaluate the antioxidant activity of each extract with Trolox as standard. Test was conducted in a 96-well plate where 100 µL of samples extract and 100 µL of DPPH solution 0.2 mM were added to each well. After 30 min incubation at room temperature in dark room, absorbance was read at 517 nm using micro-plate reader. The DPPH radical scavenging (%) of samples were calculated using (1). Antioxidant capability of the extracts would be expressed based on Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) in the unit of µmol TE/g. TEAC of samples were calculated based on calibration curve (Y =35.987X + 38.67) of Trolox DPPH scavenging activity (%) against log series dilution concentration plot.

% DPPH Scavenging =
$$\left[\frac{A_{DPPH} - A_{Sample}}{A_{DPPH}}\right] x 100 (1)$$

VERIFICATION OF OPTIMUM PREDICTED AND EXPERIMENTAL DATA

After determination of optimum condition with predicted cinnamaldehyde yield, TPC and TEAC values, experimental was done in triplicate for optimum condition validation. Validation was done through Root Mean Squared Deviation (RMSD) (Haslaniza et al. 2013; Pineiro et al. 2008) using the following formula:

$$RMSD = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\breve{y}_i - y_i)^2}$$

where \tilde{y}_i is the Observed value, and y_i is the Predicted value.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

DETERMINATION OF EXHAUSTIVE TIME EXTRACTION

Figure 1 shows the effect of extraction time on the yield of cinnamaldehyde. The yield of cinnamaldehyde increased significantly (p < 0.05) with an increase in extraction time from 2 to 4 h. However, there was no significant change in the cinnamaldehyde yield at consecutive increase of extraction time up to 10 h. The yield of cinnamaldehyde started to decline significantly (p < 0.05) from 12 to 24 h extraction time. The decline in cinnamaldehyde yield was due to the volatile properties of cinnamaldehyde as extraction time increased besides the high temperature

which enhance the loss of cinnamaldehyde (Kim 2017). Therefore, for optimization, the range of extraction time used were from 4 to 10 h.

DETERMINATION OF OPTIMUM CONDITION FOR CINNAMALDEHYDE EXTRACTION

Multiple linear regression results and analysis of the adequacy of the fitted model

Face centered composite design RSM with independent variables consisting of temperature (A: 30 °C,55 °C, 80 °C) and extraction time (B: 4 h, 7 h, 10 h) employed at three equidistant levels (-1, 0, +1) was used to acquire optimal condition for cinnamaldehyde extraction accompany with high TPC and TEAC. According to Table 1, the yield of cinnamaldehyde obtained from 13 experimental runs ranged from 2.48 mg/g to 3.27 mg/g. Meanwhile, the TPC and TEAC ranged from 570.40 mg GAE/g to 686.44 mg GAE/g, and 772.31µmol TE/g to 826.14 µmol TE/g, respectively. Multiple regression analysis suggested that cinnamaldehyde yield (CY) response fit quadratic polynomial equation, while both TPC and TEAC responses fit linear equation (Table 2).

The fitness of the model can be determined based on the Lack-of-fit test (Khuri & Cornell 2018) and R^2 . From the variance analysis (Table 2), all responses have significant model (p < 0.05) and non-significant the Lack-of-fit test (p > 0.05) accompanied with R^2 for all model higher than 0.75 (Haslaniza et al. 2013) indicating a good fit of model. The R^2 values for CY, TPC, and TEAC were 0.9981, 0.8576, and 0.8174. The reasonable agreement between predicted R^2 and adjusted R^2 confirmed the fitness of all model for determination of optimal condition for cinnamaldehyde extraction (Table 2).

EFFECTS OF TEMPERATURE AND EXTRACTION TIME ON CINNAMALDEHYDE YIELD, TPC, AND TEAC

The ANOVA results demonstrated that both extraction parameters, temperature (X_1) and extraction time (X_2) were verified to be significant (p < 0.05) for CY, TPC, and TEAC (Table 3). The coefficient for independent variables, temperature, °C (X_1) and extraction time, hours (X_2) were in negative values implying that cinnamaldehyde yield, TPC and TEAC decreased with an increase in temperature and extraction time. Cinnamaldehyde is a volatile constituent of ceylon cinnamon essential oil. Thus, due to its volatile properties, at high temperature and prolonged extraction time reduction in cinnamaldehyde yield was observed (Kim 2017).

Cinnamon extract contains polyphenolic constituents which responsible for the antioxidant properties of the extract (Momtaz et al. 2018). The antioxidant properties of cinnamon extracts were represented by TPC and TEAC. Negative coefficient showed the declination of TPC and TEAC with an

increase in temperature and extraction time. This occurrence is due to thermal degradation of polyphenols at prolonged extraction time at high temperature (Baba et al. 2016; Kim et al. 2018).

INTERACTION BETWEEN EXTRACTION TEMPERATURE AND TIME TOWARDS CINNAMALDEHYDE YIELD

ANOVA results showed the interaction between independent factors toward cinnamaldehyde yield but none for TPC and TEAC as the two responses fit linear equation. Three-dimensional (3D) response surface plot was developed to understand the interaction effects of the independent factors on the yield of cinnamaldehyde. The interaction variables model coefficient for cinnamaldehyde yield (CY) showed significance (p < 0.05) for X_{11} , X_{22} , X_{12} which all in negative values. Figure 2(a) shows the response surface for interaction between temperature and extraction time with cinnamaldehyde yield. From Figure 2(a), it can be observed that cinnamaldehyde yield increase with increment in extraction time up to optimum point at each temperature. According to Abed et al. (2015) increase in temperature aid towards an increment in both diffusion coefficient and the solubility of cinnamaldehyde in ethanol, thus producing higher yield of cinnamaldehyde. Subsequently further increase in extraction time and temperature showed declination in cinnamaldehyde yield. Cinnamaldehyde is the major substituent in cinnamon bark oil (Utchariyakiat et al. 2016) which is volatile. Due to its volatile properties, prolonged in the extraction time at higher temperature above optimum point causes declination in cinnamaldehyde vield (Ashakirin et al. 2017; Kim 2017). In addition, previous study also reported that cinnamaldehyde posses volatile and thermolabile characteristics and extraction yield of these compounds was inversely proportional to the extraction temperature and time (Kim et al. 2017).

CORRELATION BETWEEN CINNAMALDEHYDE YIELD, TPC AND TEAC

According to Table 1, it can be observed that at the highest cinnamaldehyde yield of 3.27 mg/g, TPC, and TEAC were moderate with the reading of 638.78 mg GAE/g, and 789.55 µmol TE/g, respectively. Meanwhile, cinnamaldehyde yield is lower at the highest TPC and TEAC of 686.44 mg GAE/g, and 826.14 µmol TE/g with a reading of 2.84 mg/g. Although cinnamaldehyde have moderate antioxidant activity (Suryanti et al. 2018), output from Table 1 shows that antioxidant activity of cinnamon extract does not majorly influence by cinnamaldehyde yield but correlate with TPC as can be observed that, when TPC increases, TEAC also increased. This is in agreement with Momtaz et al. (2018) finding which reported that polyphenolic constituents in cinnamon extracts were responsible for the antioxidant properties of the extract. Even though, the correlation between cinnamaldehyde yield and antioxidant activity was not observed, optimizing the extraction condition is important prior to enhancing cinnamaldehyde yield and antioxidant capacity of cinnamon extracts simultaneously.

OPTIMIZATION OF EXTRACTION CONDITION

The optimal condition (Table 4) for cinnamaldehyde extraction with maximum TPC, and TEAC were 37 °C at 5 h extraction time with predicted cinnamaldehyde yield of 3.05 mg/g, TPC of 682.17 mg GAE/g, and TEAC of 821.57 μ mol TE/g, respectively. Meanwhile,

the experimental verification obtained for the cinnamaldehyde yield, TPC, and TEAC under the optimal condition were 3.11 ± 0.71 mg/g, 682.66 ± 54.85 mg GAE/g and 817.89 ± 9.03 µmol TE/g, respectively. The root mean square deviation (RMSD) for all responses were 0.03, 0.17, and 0.54, respectively. Small values of RMSD proven the proximity between predicted and verified values, which implies the all model were fit and can be use to determine optimal condition for cinnamaldehyde extraction with high antioxidant capacity.



<0.05(significant)



FIGURE 2. 3-Dimensional surface of (a) cinnamaldehyde yield as a function of temperature (°C) and extraction time (h)

	I	ndependen	t variable	S	Depender			
Run	X ₁ :		X ₂ :		Y ₁ :	Y ₂ :	Y_:	
	Temperature (°C)		Extraction time (h)		cinnamaldehyde yield (mg/g)	TPC (mg GAE/g)	TEAC (μmol TE/g)	
	actual	coded	actual	coded				
1	55	0	7	0	3.27 ± 0.01	638.78 ± 22.32	789.55 ± 66.82	
2	55	0	4	-1	3.04 ± 0.06	670.40 ± 12.89	819.09 ± 4.96	
3	30	-1	4	-1	2.84 ± 0.02	$686.44{\pm}0.00$	826.14 ± 5.00	
4	55	0	10	1	2.87 ± 0.01	570.40 ± 55.20	772.31 ± 51.38	
5	55	0	7	0	3.23 ± 0.00	631.13 ± 3.54	788.17 ± 9.54	
6	55	0	7	0	3.25 ± 0.00	634.77 ± 13.63	805.37 ± 24.37	
7	80	1	4	-1	$2.76\pm\ 0.00$	656.96 ± 17.19	801.75 ± 0.00	
8	55	0	7	0	3.24 ± 0.01	627.69 ± 29.56	805.37 ± 24.37	
9	80	1	10	1	$2.48\pm\ 0.01$	579.04 ± 18.63	774.76 ± 0.00	
10	30	-1	7	0	3.10 ± 0.00	671.65 ± 18.59	823.69 ± 59.77	
11	55	0	7	0	3.23 ± 0.02	619.25 ± 0.44	788.14 ± 0.00	
12	80	1	7	0	2.91 ± 0.02	598.94 ± 4.42	778.15 ± 14.13	
13	30	-1	10	1	$2.74\pm\ 0.02$	647.90 ± 26.09	788.14 ± 76.35	

TABLE 1. Two-independent variable and three dependent variables, three-level face-centered central composite design RSM

TABLE 2. Model equations fitted for cinnamaldehyde yield (CY), TPC and TEAC prediction data for ceylon cinnamon extraction

Responses	Model Equation	Model Significant	Lack-of-fit Test	R ²
	Actual Equation	<0.0001 (Significant)	0.751(not significant)	0.9981
CY	+0.63792 +0.043665*temperature +0.46026 * extraction time -3.90897E-004*temperature ² -0.032701 * extraction time ² -6.00000E-004*			Adjusted 0.9967
	temperature * extraction time			Predicted 0.9935
	Coded Equation			
	+3.25 - $0.088X_1 - 0.092X_2 - 0.24X_{11} - 0.29X_{22} - 0.045X_{12}$			
	Actual Equation	< 0.0001 (Significant)	0.058 not significant)	0.8576
	+780.22605 -1.14028 * temperature -12.02546* extraction time			Adjusted 0.8292
TPC	Coded Equation			Predicted 0.6864
	+633.33 - 28.51* X ₁ - 36.08 * X ₂			
	Actual Equation	0.0002 (Significant)	0.637 (not significant)	0.8174
TEAC	+870.98321 - 0.55538 * temperature - 6.20968 *			
	extraction time			Adjusted 0.7809
	Coded Equation			
	+796.97-13.88* X ₁ -18.63* X ₂			Predicted 0.6937

	Cinnamaldehyde yield(mg/g)			TPC (mg GAE/g)			TEAC (µmol TE/g)		
	Coefficient	F	Prob <f< td=""><td>Coefficient</td><td>F</td><td>Prob<f< td=""><td>Coefficient</td><td>F</td><td>Prob<f< td=""></f<></td></f<></td></f<>	Coefficient	F	Prob <f< td=""><td>Coefficient</td><td>F</td><td>Prob<f< td=""></f<></td></f<>	Coefficient	F	Prob <f< td=""></f<>
Independent variables									
X ₁ -temperature	-0.088	222.92	< 0.0001	-28.51	23.16	0.0007	-13.88	15.99	0.0025
X ₂ - extraction time	-0.092	240.06	< 0.0001	-36.08	37.09	0.0001	-18.63	28.78	0.0003
Interaction									
X ₁₁	-0.24	784.94	< 0.0001	-	-	-	-	-	-
X ₂₂	-0.29	1139.11	< 0.0001	-	-	-	-	-	-
X ₁₂	-0.045	38.57	0.0004	-	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 3. Analysis of coefficient for coded model used to fit cinnamaldehyde yield (CY), TPC, and TEAC ceylon cinnamon extraction

TABLE 4. Optimization paramater predicted and verification responses result

Parameters	Goal	Lower Limit	Upper Limit			
Temperature (°C)	is in range	30	80			
Extraction time (h)	is in range	4	10			
CY (mg/g)	maximize	2.48	3.27			
TPC (mg GAE/ g)	maximize	570.40	686.44			
TEAC (µmol TE/g)	maximize	772.31	826.14			
Solutions	Temperature	Extraction time	СҮ	TPC	TEAC	Desirability
Predicted	37	5	3.05	682.17	821.57	0.859
Verification	37	5	3.11 ± 0.71	682.66 ± 54.85	817.89 ± 9.03	
RMSD			0.03	0.17	0.54	

CONCLUSION

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of response surface methodology (RSM) showed that the quadratic model

and linear model were fit for cinnamaldehyde yield, TPC, and TEAC responses, respectively. The optimal condition for maximum cinnamaldehyde yield, TPC, and

TEAC were 37 °C at 5 h extraction time with predicted cinnamaldehyde yield of 3.05 mg/g, TPC of 682.17 mg GAE/g, and TEAC of 821.57 µmol TE/g, respectively. The verification value obtained for the cinnamaldehyde yield, TPC, and TEAC under the optimal condition were 3.11 ± 0.71 mg/g, 682.66 ± 54.85 mg GAE/g, and 817.89 \pm 9.03 µmol TE/g. Cinnamaldehyde yield, TPC, and TEAC were significantly (p < 0.05) influence by temperature and extraction time with negative coefficient. There were also significant (p < 0.05) interaction between temperature and extraction time towards cinnamaldehyde yield. The models were fit for all responses and the model were able to be used in determining the optimal condition for cinnamaldehyde extraction with maximum antioxidant activity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia for providing financial support to this project under grant No. GUP-2017-014.

REFERENCES

- Abed, K.M., Kurji, B.M. & Abdul-Majeed, B.A. 2015. Extraction and modelling of oil from eucalyptus camadulensis by organic solvent. *Journal of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering* 3(08): 35-42.
- Ashakirin, S.N., Tripathy, M., Patil, U.K. & Majeed, A.B.A. 2017. Chemistry and bioactivity of cinnamaldehyde: A natural molecule of medicinal importance. *International of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research* 8(6): 2333-2340.
- Baba, W.N., Rashid, I., Shah, A., Ahmad, M., Gani, A., Masoodi, F.A. & Wani, S.M. 2016. Effect of microwave roasting on antioxidant and anticancerous activities of barley flour. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences* 15(1): 12-19.
- Dvorackova, E., Snoblova, M., Chromcova, L. & Hrdlicka, P. 2015. Effects of extraction methods on the phenolic compounds contents and antioxidant capacities of cinnamon extracts. *Food Science and Biotechnology* 24(4): 1201-1207.
- Haslaniza, H., Maskat, M.Y., Aida, W.W., Mamot, S. & Saadiah, I. 2013. Optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis of cockle (*Anadara granosa*) meat wash water precipitate for the development of seafood flavor. *International Food Research Journal* 20(6): 3053.
- Jiao, L., Zhang, X., Huang, L., Gong, H., Cheng, B., Sun, Y. & Huang, K. 2013. Proanthocyanidins are the major antidiabetic components of cinnamon water extract. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 56: 398-405.
- Jayaprakasha, G.K., Negi, P.S., Jena, B.S. & Rao, L.J.M. 2007. Antioxidant and antimutagenic activities of *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* fruit extracts. J. Food Compos. Anal. 20: 330-336.
- Kim, J.H. 2017. Extraction time and temperature affect the extraction efficiencies of coumarin and phenylpropanoids from *Cinnamomum cassia* bark using a microwaveassisted extraction method. *Journal of Chromatography B* 1063: 196-203.

- Kim, A.N., Kim, H.J., Chun, J., Heo, H.J., Kerr, W.L. & Choi, S.G. 2018. Degradation kinetics of phenolic content and antioxidant activity of hardy kiwifruit (*Actinidia arguta*) puree at different storage temperatures. *LWT* 89: 535-541.
- Khuri, A.I. & Cornell, J.A. 2018. *Response Surfaces: Designs and Analyses*. England: Routledge.
- Lee, H.G., Jo, Y., Ameer, K. & Kwon, J.H. 2018. Optimization of green extraction methods for cinnamic acid and cinnamaldehyde from Cinnamon (*Cinnamomum cassia*) by response surface methodology. *Food Science and Biotechnology* 27(6): 1607-1617.
- Momtaz, S., Hassani, S., Khan, F., Ziaee, M. & Abdollahi, M. 2018. Cinnamon, a promising prospect towards Alzheimer's disease. *Pharmacological Research* 130: 241-258.
- Mathew, S. & Abraham, T.E. 2006. In vitro antioxidant activity and scavenging effects of *Cinnamomum verum* leaf extract assayed by different methodologies. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 44: 198-206.
- Nandam, S.S., Prakash, D.V.S. & Vangalapati, M. 2012. Optimization of physico-chemical parameters for the extraction of phenolic components from cinnamon species. *Journal of Academia and Industrial Research* 1(4): 183-185.
- Peterson, D.W., George, R.C., Scaramozzino, F., LaPointe, N.E., Anderson, R.A., Graves, D.J. & Lew, J. 2009. Cinnamon extract inhibits tau aggregation associated with Alzheimer's disease in vitro. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease 17(3): 585-597.
- Piñeiro, G., Perelman, S., Guerschman, J.P. & Paruelo, J.M. 2008. How to evaluate models: Observed vs. predicted or predicted vs. observed? *Ecological Modelling* 216(3-4): 316-322.
- Singletary, K. 2008. Cinnamon: Overview of health benefits. *Nutrition Today* 43(6): 263-266.
- Sembiring, E.N., Elya, B. & Sauriasari, R. 2018. Phytochemical screening, total flavonoid and total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of different parts of *Caesalpinia bonduc* (L.) Roxb. *Pharmacognosy Journal* 10(1): 123-127.
- Suryanti, V., Wibowo, F.R., Khotijah, S. & Andalucki, N. 2018. Antioxidant activities of cinnamaldehyde derivatives. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* 333(1): 012077.
- Utchariyakiat, I., Surassmo, S., Jaturanpinyo, M., Khuntayaporn, P. & Chomnawang, M.T. 2016. Efficacy of cinnamon bark oil and cinnamaldehyde on anti-multidrug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and the synergistic effects in combination with other antimicrobial agents. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 16(1): 158.
- Wardatun, S., Rustiani, E., Alfiani, N. & Rissani, D. 2017. Study effect type of extraction method and type of solvent to cinnamaldehyde and trans-cinnamic acid dry extract cinnamon (*Cinnamomum burmanii* [*Nees & T, Nees*] *Blume*). Journal of Young Pharmacists 9(1): S49-S51.
- Wang, Y.H., Avula, B., Nanayakkara, N.P.D., Zhao, J. & Khan, I.A. 2013. *Cassia cinnamon* as a source of coumarin in cinnamon-flavored food and food supplements in the United States. J. Agr. Food Chem. 61: 4470-4476.
- Yang, C.H., Li, R.X. & Chuang, L.Y. 2012. Antioxidant activity of various parts of *Cinnamomum cassia* extracted with different extraction methods. *Molecules* 17(6): 7294-7304.

Zhen, H., Shen, X., Bu, G. & Luo, Y. 2008. Effect of pH, temperature and enzyme-to-substrate ratio on the antigenicity of whey protein hydrolysates prepared by Alcalase. *International Dairy Journal* 18: 1028-1033.

Zetty Shafiqa Othman & Nur Hasyareeda Hassan* Department of Chemical Sciences Faculty of Science and Technology Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan Malaysia Mohamad Yusof Maskat Department of Food Sciences Faculty of Science and Technology Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan Malaysia

*Corresponding author; email: syareeda@ukm.edu.my

Received: 3 October 2019 Accepted: 19 January 2020

1002